

Week 4: Solving Hetero-Agent Model with KS Algorithm

Computation Study Group

Peking University, HSBC Business School

August, 2021

Solving Incomplete Market Models with Hetero Agents

- Projection
 - DR2010-JEDC (Exact Aggregation/Xpa) *
 - AAD2008-JEDC
 - AAD2010-JEDC
 - Reiter2010-JEDC
- Perturbation
 - KKK2010-JEDC
 - PR2006-WP
- Hybrid:
 - Projection and Simulation (i.e., Krusell-Smith Algorithm)
 - KS1998-JPE
 - **MMV2010-JEDC (KS- Stochastic Simulation). ***
 - Young2010-JEDC (KS- Non-Stochastic Simulation 2)
 - Projection and Perturbation
 - Reiter2009-JEDC*
 - Winberry2018-QE*
- Continuous-time: AKMWW2018-NBER Macro Annual

Environment: DJJ2010-JEDC

$$c_i^{-\gamma} = h_i + \beta E[(c'_i)^{-\gamma}(1 - \delta + r')] \quad (1)$$

$$c_i + k'_i = k_i r + [(1 - \tau_t)\varepsilon_t + \mu(1 - \varepsilon_t)]w + (1 - \delta)k_i \quad (2)$$

$$k' \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

$$hk' = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$w = (1 - \alpha)a_t \left(\frac{K_t}{L_t}\right)^\alpha \quad (5)$$

$$r = \alpha a_t \left(\frac{K_t}{L_t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \quad (6)$$

$$\tau_t = \frac{\mu u_t}{L_t} = \frac{\mu(1 - L_t)}{L_t} \quad (7)$$

Environment: DJJ2010-JEDC

- Transition probabilities: (Table 2)

$s, e/s'e'$	b, u	b, e	g, u	g, e
b, u	0.525	0.35	0.03125	0.09375
b, e	0.038889	0.836111	0.002083	0.122917
g, u	0.09375	0.03125	0.291667	0.583333
g, e	0.009115	0.115885	0.024306	0.850694

- Aggregate states: bad / good:
 - $a_t = 1 + \Delta$, if good;
 - $a_t = 1 - \Delta$, if bad.
- Idiosyncratic states: employed / unemployed:
 - $\varepsilon_t = 1$, if employed;
 - $\varepsilon_t = 0$, if unemployed;

Computational Challenges

Euler Equation (policy function):

$$c(\varepsilon, k; m, a)^{-\sigma} = h(\varepsilon, k; m, a) + \beta E[c(\varepsilon', k'; m', a')^{-\sigma}(1 - \delta + r')]$$

where optimal consumption $c(\varepsilon, k; m, a) =$

$$r(m, a)k + [(1 - \tau_t(m, a))\varepsilon_t + \mu(1 - \varepsilon_t)]w(m, a) + (1 - \delta)k - k'(\varepsilon, k; m, a)$$

and m is the (joint) distribution of capital and employment status (usually an infinite-dimensional object).

Computational Challenges

- decisions of each heterogeneous agent depend on r and w .
- r and w depend on the aggregate capital stock;
- aggregate capital stock is determined by cross-sectional capital holding of all heterogeneous agents;
- **capital distribution** is a state variable, and
- capital distribution is typically an **infinite-dimensional** object
- complicated **fixed point problem**: each agent's saving decision depends on his expectation on the dynamics of distribution; the dynamics of distribution depend on agent's saving decision.
- infinite-dimensional fixed point problem

Krusell-Smith Algorithm

KS Algorithm: Approximate the distribution with a small number of moments (often mean and variance).

- if future prices are accurately forecasted by the small number of moments: globally accurate and can capture the global non-linearities.
- if the low-order moments cannot fully capture the price dynamics, i.e. when firms follow (S,s) rule, KS algorithm, or "Approximate Aggregation" fails.
- need "Explicit Aggregation" (XPA, DR2010-JEDC) or perturbation and projection (Reiter 2009, Winberry 2018).

Equilibrium

The equilibrium in general features two parts:

- policy rule for control variables
- law of motion of state variables

In RA models:

- individual policy rule = aggregate policy rule
- LM of individual state variables = ALM

Not true for HA models:

- individual policy rule \rightarrow aggregation w. distn \rightarrow aggregate policy rule
- LM of individual state variables \rightarrow aggregation w. distn \rightarrow ALM

Individual Problem: Grids

Individual Problem:

$$\tilde{k}' = [(1 - \tau_t)\varepsilon + \mu(1 - \varepsilon)]w + (1 - \delta + r)k - \{h + \beta E[\frac{1 - \delta + r'}{[(1 - \tau')w'\varepsilon' + \mu(1 - \varepsilon')w' + (1 - \delta + r')k' - k'(k')]^\gamma}]^{-1/\gamma}$$

We solve this equation following an iterative procedures on a grid.

Grid of points: (k, ε, m, a) .

Restrictions on the grid: $k \in [0, k_{max}]$; $m \in [m_{min}, m_{max}]$.

Similar to KS(1998), we assume **first moment** is sufficient.

Grid of points: $(k, \varepsilon, Kmean, a)$.

Restrictions: $k \in [0, k_{max}]$; $Kmean \in [Kmean_{min}, Kmean_{max}]$.

Individual Problem: Iterative Procedures

Individual Problem:

$$\tilde{k}' = [(1 - \tau_t)\varepsilon + \mu(1 - \varepsilon)]w + (1 - \delta + r)k -$$

$$\left\{ h + \beta E \left[\frac{1 - \delta + r'}{[(1 - \tau')w'\varepsilon' + \mu(1 - \varepsilon')w' + (1 - \delta + r')k' - k'(k')]^\gamma} \right] \right\}^{-1/\gamma}$$

We solve this equation following an **iterative procedures** on a grid.

Given initial states a and ε_i for all i , r and w (on RHS) are known.

Initial capital function: $k'(k, \varepsilon, K_{\text{mean}}, a) = 0.9k$.

k' is known, thus K' and $E(r')$ (on RHS) are known.

With transition probabilities, $E(\tau')$, $E(w')$ and $E(\varepsilon')$ are known.

set $h=0$.

New capital function \tilde{k}' is known for any k .

Updated capital function: $\tilde{\tilde{k}}' = \eta\tilde{k}'() + (1 - \eta)k'()$.

Individual Problem: Practical Issues

- k_{max} . We can set k_{max} very large: all k' fall into $[0, k_{max}]$, but it's very costly in computation.
We instead set a **relatively large** k_{max} , and bound k' whenever it exceeds the grid. (in our case we set $k_{max} = 1000$)
- Occasionally binding constraint. We need more grid points at low level of capital and fewer points at high level of capital.
A simple polynomial rule for placement of grid points:

$$k_j = \left(\frac{j}{J}\right)^\theta k_{max}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, J \quad (8)$$

$\theta = 1$: equal distance b/w grid points;

$\theta > 1$: concentration at the bottom.

- updating parameter (η): trade-off b/w speed and stability.
- convergence parameter: time to stop.

Aggregate Problem: ALM

- We *approximate* aggregate law of motion by:

$$m' = f(m, a; b) \quad (9)$$

where b is a vector of ALM coefficient (this is regression!).

- We estimate the following equations in two aggregate states:

$$\log(K_{t+1}) = b_1 + b_2 \log(K_t), \text{ if state is good;} \quad (10)$$

$$\log(K_{t+1}) = b_3 + b_4 \log(K_t), \text{ if state is bad;} \quad (11)$$

- Stochastic Simulation: This paper
- Non-stochastic Simulation: Young (2010 JEDC); Den Haan (2010 JEDC)

Aggregate Problem: Iterative Procedures

- Fixed initial capital distribution, initial aggregate shocks and initial idiosyncratic shocks. (N=10,000)
- Generate time series of T period aggregate shocks, and idiosyncratic shocks.
- Guess an **initial vector** of coefficients b. (i.e., [0,1;0,1]):

$$\log(K_{t+1}) = 0 + \log(K_t), \text{ if state is good or bad;}$$

- Solve the *Individual Problem*.
- Simulate the economy for T periods forward, explicitly solve cross-sectional capital holding, and calculate the mean K_t .
- Regress K_{t+1} on K_t^1 , get **new vector** of coefficients \tilde{b} .
- **Updated vector of coefficients:** $\tilde{\tilde{b}} = \lambda \tilde{b} + (1 - \lambda)b$.

¹discard 100 initial periods to mitigate the effect of initial distribution

program

The program includes the following subroutines:

- "MAIN.m" (computes a solution and stores the results in "Solution")
- "SHOCKS.m" (a subroutine of MAIN.m; generates the shocks)
- "INDIVIDUAL.m" (a subroutine of MAIN.m; computes a solution to the individual problem)
- "AGGREGATE.m" (a subroutine of MAIN.m; performs the stochastic simulation)
- "Inputs_for_test" (contains initial distribution of capital and 10,000-period realizations of aggregate shock and idiosyncratic shock for one agent provided by Den Haan, Judd and Juillard, 2008)

program: MAIN.m

MAIN.m include the following sections:

- parameters: including model parameters, stimulation parameters, transition probabilities, steady state values of capital
- shocks: call "SHOCK.m" functions for aggr. and idio. shocks.
- grids: including capital, moments of capital (mean)
- initials: including capital evolution function, distribution, ALM
- convergence: including initial diff value, criteria, updating parameters)
- solver: call "INDIVIDUAL.m" and "AGGREGATE.m" functions
- figures

program: SHOCK.m

T periods and N agents

- aggregate shocks: $(T,1)$;
- idiosyncratic shocks: (T,N)
- given an initial agg. state
- generate cross-sectional initial idios. state accordingly
- simulate agg. shocks T periods forward with transition prob
- simulate idios. shocks T periods forward with transition prob, conditional on evolution of aggregate states

program: INDIVIDUAL.m

Iterative procedures:

- auxiliary matrices of transition prob on the grid
- auxiliary matrices of k , K_{mean} , a , e on the grid
- r , w and $\text{wealth}(t)$
- c and $u'(c)$
- K_{mean}'
- r' , w' and $\text{wealth}(t+1)$
- c' and $u'(c')$
- update k'
- update c

Comments ²

Advantage of KS algorithm:

- simple and intuitive
- widely used

Disadvantage of KS algorithm:

- *approximate* aggregate
- can the distribution be summarized by mean and variance?
- sampling noise in simulation
- computational cost

²see Den Haan(2010) for a discussion on KS algorithm

Reference and Further Reading

Reference

- Maliar, L., Maliar, S., Valli, F. (2010). Solving the incomplete markets model with aggregate uncertainty using the Krusell–Smith algorithm. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 34(1), 42-49.

Further Reading for non-stochastic simulation method

- Den Haan, W. J. (2010). Comparison of solutions to the incomplete markets model with aggregate uncertainty. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 34(1), 4-27.
- Young, E. R. (2010). Solving the incomplete markets model with aggregate uncertainty using the Krusell–Smith algorithm and non-stochastic simulations. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 34(1), 36-41.

Reference and Further Reading

Further Reading for KS Algorithm/Application

- (classic) Krusell, P., Smith, Jr, A. A. (1998). Income and wealth heterogeneity in the macroeconomy. *Journal of political Economy*, 106(5), 867-896.
- (review) Terry, S. J. (2017). Alternative methods for solving heterogeneous firm models. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 49(6), 1081-1111.
- (application) Khan, A., Thomas, J. K. (2008). Idiosyncratic shocks and the role of nonconvexities in plant and aggregate investment dynamics. *Econometrica*, 76(2), 395-436.