Discussion of "Inefficient Bubbly Boom" by Feng Dong, Yang Jiao and Haoning Sun

Discussant: Ding Dong (HKUST)

August, 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Overview



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Overview

- Dong, Jiao and Sun (2022): two-sector economy w.
 - production sector w. L & K
 - housing sector (endogenous housing supply) w. L
 - credit friction (+ irreversibility) \rightarrow housing bubble
 - collateral effect a la Miao, Wang and Zhou (2015)

- housing value $\uparrow \rightarrow$ collateral $\uparrow \rightarrow K^M \uparrow$
- crowd-out effect (new)
 - housing value $\uparrow \rightarrow L^{H} \uparrow \rightarrow L^{M} \downarrow$
- Very inspiring and important paper!
 - I really enjoy reading it !

Comment 1

• Current version: constant labor supply

$$C = \underbrace{\left(\frac{R_k}{\alpha A^M}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}}_{collateral} \left(\frac{R_k}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta_h}{\omega(\varepsilon^*)}\right) \underbrace{\mathcal{L}^M}_{crowd-out}$$

- Important and nice assumption for theoretical tractability
- Evidence for quantitative support
 - Mian and Sufi (2014): regions with larger decline in household net worth had larger reduction in employment in nontradable sectors during 2007-2009.
 - Charles et al. (2016): local housing booms increased employment between 2000-2006.
- What if: elastic labor supply?
 - Collateral effect might be strengthened
 - Crowd-out effect might be weakened

Comment 2

- Calibration of (quarterly) housing depreciation rate: δ_h
 - This paper: $\delta_h = 0.1$
 - What's the calibration strategy?
 - Alternative strategies:
 - Rent-to-price ratio
 - Residential housing's average lifespan: i.e. $\delta_h=$ 0.003 in Jiang, Miao and Zhang (2021)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Comment 3

- Two sectors economy versus one sector w. two assets,
 - i.e. collateral channel & crowd-out channel in Dong, Guo, Peng and Xu (2021); Goldstein and Gupta (2021)
 - housing bubble (baseline) vs. housing booms (ext)
- Crowding out channels
 - labor channel in this paper
 - financial channel *a la* Martin et al.(2021) and Goldstein and Gupta (2021)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Other Comments

- Optimal policy
 - Supply-side policy: subsidy to real estate producer
 - Demand-side policy: taxation on housing purchase
 - Goldstein and Gupta (2021): First-best achieved via combination of supply- and demand-side policy
- LTV shock: (aggregate) financial openness shock
 - alternative interpretation: (sectoral) demand shock
 - 'relative' friction changed (DRS H-sector always unconstrained)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●