Discussion of "Real Credit Cycles" by Bordalo, Pedro, Nicola Gennaioli, Andrei Shleifer, and Stephen J. Terry

Discussant: Ding Dong (HKUST)

China International Conference in Macroeconomics, 2022

Awesome paper !

- Theory of rational expectation (RE) (or its twin in finance: efficient market (EM) hypothesis)
 - 'Correct' expectation
 - Unpredictable forecast errors (FE)
- Data
 - Systematic FE (extrapolation \rightarrow reversal)
 - Over- optimistic (pessimistic) in good (bad) times
- BGST (2021): Theory of diagnostic expectation (DE)
 - Incorporated into a workhorse RBC framework
 - Disciplined by micro data
 - Parsimonious way to produce boom-bust credit cycles

DE as Crucial Ingredient

• Diagnostic expectation (indexed by θ) of state X_t

$$\underbrace{E_t^{\theta}(X_{t+1})}_{DE} = \underbrace{E_t(X_{t+1})}_{RE} + \theta \underbrace{\left[E_t(X_{t+1}) - E_{t-1}(X_{t+1})\right]}_{News}$$
(1)

$$X_{t+1} = \rho X_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}, \quad \varepsilon_{t+1} \sim i.i.d.N(0,\sigma^2)$$
(2)

DE: θ > 0

$$E_t^{\theta}(X_{t+1}) = \rho X_t + \theta \rho \left[X_t - \rho X_{t-1} \right] = \rho X_t + \theta \rho \varepsilon_t \quad (3)$$

• DE \sim RE + autocorrelated shock: $corr(\varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_t) > 0$

• Key mechanism of overreaction

Test for DE

Predictable FE

$$E_t(FE_{t+1}) \equiv E_t(X_{t+1}) - E_t^{\theta}(X_{t+1}) = -\theta\rho\varepsilon_t$$
(4)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Micro-level evidence
 - $E_t(FE_{t+1})$: firm-level forecast errors (I/B/E/S)
 - ε_t: investment or debt issuance
- Result: $corr(E_t(FE_{t+1}), \varepsilon_t) < 0$

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Forecast $\operatorname{Error}_{t+1}$			
Estimation Method:	OLS	GMM	OLS	GMM
$Investment_t$	-0.618^{***}	-1.459^{***}		
	(0.119)	(0.061)		
$Debt_t$			-0.562^{***}	-0.887^{***}
			(0.187)	(0.056)
Firm Effects		Х		Х
• In support of DE $(heta > 0)$ rather than RE $(heta = 0)$				

Credit Cycles



Figure: Debt Quality and Subsequent High-Yield Excess Returns¹

¹Data source: Greenwood and Hanson (2013), reprinted in *A Crisis of Beliefs* by Gennaioli and Shleifer (2018). Debt quality is measured by share of risky corporate debt.

A DE Theory of Credit Cycles

 Stylized facts (Schularick & Taylor, 2012; Greenwood & Hanson, 2013; López-Salido et al., 2017; Bordalo et al., 2018; BGST, 2021; etc.)

1. (Overreaction) news $\uparrow \rightarrow$ spread $\downarrow \downarrow \&$ investment $\uparrow \uparrow$

2. (Reversal) sentiment $\uparrow \rightarrow$ excess returns \downarrow & real activity \downarrow

- BGST (2021): DE theory of boom-bust cycles
 - Credit spread under DE

$$s_t^{\theta} \approx (1-\rho)s_{\infty} + \rho s_{t-1} - s[\rho(1+\theta)\varepsilon_t - \rho^2 \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}]$$
 (5)

Credit Spread under RE

$$s_t^* \approx (1-\rho)s_\infty + \rho s_{t-1} - s\rho \varepsilon_t$$
 (6)

DE vs RE

$$s_{t}^{\theta} - s_{t}^{*} = \underbrace{-s\rho\theta\varepsilon_{t}}_{over-reaction} + \underbrace{s\rho^{2}\theta\varepsilon_{t-1}}_{reversal}$$
(7)

Important Contribution

• RE credit cycle à la Kiyotaki and Moore (1997)

$$b_t \leq \xi_t E_t q_{t+1} k_t \quad \rightarrow \quad LTV_t \equiv \frac{b_t}{E_t q_{t+1} k_t} = \xi_t$$
 (8)

• One-way amplification + persistence, no boom-bust cycles

- Constant LTV absent of financial shock (ξ_t)
- Hetero-belief credit cycle à la Geanakoplos (2010)

$$LTV_t = \frac{\text{worst-case rate of return}}{\text{risk-free rate}}$$
(9)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- LTV too high (low) in boom (recession), no boom-bust cycles
- Bad news + increases volatility → crashes
- DE credit cycle à la BGST (2021)
 - Extrapolation -reversal (boom-bust) cycle

Comments

- DE in the model is representative w. a single parameter heta
 - Identical across micro & macro TFP, borrowers & lenders
 - Minimal but powerful departure from RE benchmark, I like it !
- Comment 1: Macro-level evidence
 - Linking forecast error or its revision to aggregate news and information shock (i.e. Jarociński & Karadi, 2020)
- Comment 2: Representative or heterogeneous
 - Psychological forces: stable, universal (θ)
 - Context-specific: time-varying or heterogeneous (θ_t^i)

Comments

- Comment 3: Endogenous or exogenous
 - Information friction (i.e. Angeletos, Huo, & Sastry, 2021)
 - Belief dispersion + financial friction (i.e. Geanakoplos, 2010)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Comment 4: Robustness of DE equilibrium
 - Conditional on heterogeneity in DE
 - Interaction between different types of agents
 - Will arbitragers 'correct' mis-pricing ?

Comments

- Comment 5: Decomposing real channels of DE
 - Overspending on fixed operation cost (entry decision)
 - Overspending on equity issuance cost (financing decision)
 - Overspending on adjustment costs (production decision)
 - etc.
- Comment 6: Implication on firm dynamics
 - Entry-exit dynamics
 - Cooley & Quadrini, 2001; Clementi, & Palazzo, 2016; etc.
 - Debt-equity dynamics
 - Covas & Den Haan, 2011; Begenau & Salomao, 2019; etc.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Summary

- Interesting, Inspiring and Important Paper!
 - Intriguing theory of expectation backed by micro data
 - Strong mechanism disciplined by solid quantification

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Milestone in business (credit) cycle research
- Good luck with the paper!
 - Can't wait to see more follow-up works